Subject: Chat with Tim Rogers
From: Tim Rogers <timmytyper@gmail.com>
To: barriticus@gmail.com

Tim: Talked to Gregg this morning. I like that guy. He made it sound like it was way off the record that you penned the Al Jazeera piece.
me: heh
Tim: But even a casual reader can tell from you HuffPo piece
Tim: http://www.huffingtonpost.com/barrett-brown/anonymous-australia-and-t_b_457776.html
me: it's already known
me: yeah, I know
Tim: That it's the same person.
Tim: Oh, okay.
me: I never asked them to hide my identify
me: they did it
Tim: Go tit.
Tim: Or got it.
me: I use my name on Anonymous op-eds
me: lolololol
me: that went well
Tim: So what's the new deal with Wired? I can't find online this reference to you being an "Anonymous theorist."
me: that's their idea
me: also greenwald just wrote
me: Michael Isikoff wants to talk to me
Tim: So the Wired description of you (Anon theorist) hasn't been published yet? That what you're saying?
me: oh, no, it's up
me: I saw it
me: they didn't talk to me
me: blog post on Wired
Tim: I'll try to track down the Wired thing. Lemme know what Isikoff says when you talk to him.
me: k
Tim: Can't find the Wired citation. You have linky?
me: yeah
me: http://www.wired.com/beyond_the_beyond/2011/02/anonymous-theorist-bragging-to-al-jazeera/
me: I just e-mailed him about this "English not first language" shit
me: like, what the fuck?

me: and I am indeed watching Star Wars parodies for the lulz
me: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dRd_vZT6zPY
me: guy's got everything wrong except for me clearly being a revolutionary
me: like, can one not watch YouTube parodies just because one wants a hundred governments toppled?
Tim: WTF indeed. First thing I notice about that blog post is that he's violating Al Jazeera's copyright by reprinting that entire thing. That's a no-no.
me: oh right
me: but good
me: ALL MUST READ MY OPINIONS!
me: http://random.bandcamp.com/track/avalanche-feat-barret-wallace
Tim: My ears hurt.
me: GOOD I'VE HACKED THEM
me: LOOK AT ME I'M AARON BARR
me: anyway
me: among Anons
me: secrecy is default
me: that's why Gregg won't say anything unless authorized
Tim: Noted.
Tim: My creative director really likes the photograph of you with the cigarette. I now need to put him in touch with your girlfriend.
Tim: Your friend Mirna doesn't answer her email. Got a phone number for her?
me: yeah
me: 512-947-5712
Tim: tnx
Tim: Fucking Paula at fucking Mustang Barbers cut your fucking hair?
Tim: Wow.
me: yep
me: for like 15 fucking years
me: thanks mom
me: yeah, mom told me about you
me: remember not to confuse me with Bryan Barnett
Tim: Yeah, Paula cut mine for about the same number of years. Funny woman.
me: or I will destroy D
me: also he's gay
me: a gay nerd
Tim: Weird. I just hung up with Mirna. She, like your mother, remembers you as a bedwetter.
me: the problem is
Tim: Or, in Mirna's case, a couchwetter.
me: I never acted up in your mom's class
me: I enjoyed drawing stuff
Tim: I asked my mom if you were a bedwetter. She said she never observed you sleeping.

me: that was nice of her
Tim: Not trying to be dense. But I still don't understand. How can you with certainty say that someone is not Anon?
me: I can't
me: but I do anyway
Tim: Understood.
me: fact is that all hackers are in communication, to my knowledge
me: and I would have known about this
me: now, these people might identify with Anon, I haven't seen anything yet
Tim: Logistical question: you've got this voluntary botnet. You want to run a DDoS. Who presses the button that sets the botnet on a particular site? (If this question is more easily answered on the phone, let me know.)
me: a guy with a dog
me: it's voted upon, sometimes
me: and then a certain person with that capability does his thing
Tim: Right. But so all this talk about an organization that isn't organized, etc. Yet, when it comes down to it, someone is still at the head of the beast, running the software that controls the botnet. Do I understand that correctly?
me: no
me: it is more like
me: there are many heads
me: some more active than others
me: and sometimes they switch out
me: and often specializing in different affairs
Tim: So it's a mistake for me to think of Anonymous have ONE botnet. There are many. Sometimes they're all headed in the same direction; sometimes they aren't.
Tim: Let's say I wanted to install the software on my computer and offer my machine to the botnet. Who decides who gets control of my machine?
me: this guy
me: although, again, the decision is usually democratic
me: these days
Tim: So you're organizing the botnet. Building it. Kinda. But you don't control it, actually USE it to launch the DDoS. Someone else does it.
Tim: Am I close?
me: someone technical does that
me: it's a group effort
me: and I don't know particulars
me: one of those things I shouldn't know
Tim: But you decide who gets control of my machine. How do you do that unless you know WHO the person is who will have control of my machine?
Tim: Maybe I'll just have your girlfriend explain all this to me.
me: I don't get to decide

me: again, these are the tech people
me: I've never had anything to do with the LOIC
me: not technical
me: and that's kept safe
me: I mean, it's capabilities are
Tim: Sorry to bombard you. When I asked about a tick-tock, you pointed me to an Endgame Systems doc
Tim: http://69.80.108.134/20110114-Anonymous.pdf
Tim: But that linky no worky.
me: ah
me: one sec